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Introduction

- User authentication traditionally dominated by traditional PINs and passwords
  - Tokens and biometrics tended to remain the preserve of larger organisations
- Today’s situation is rather more diverse
  - Lay users are far more likely to encounter things beyond the traditional secrets
  - Alternative and multi-factor approaches may now have been directly experienced rather than just heard about
Driving the change

- The increased security needs of certain online services, raising user expectations to see protection beyond the baseline
  - e.g. Internet banking
- The new opportunities afforded by our devices
  - e.g. touchscreens allow gesture-based secrets, while other sensors allow biometric inputs

Introducing Passwords!

- An aspect of security that we all tend to encounter
- Traditional methods are often regarded as very usable
  - Easy to understand the idea
  - Familiar across different systems
  - high degree of cross-device applicability
  - Perceived to be low cost
- Ease of use is often because users have not been made to use them properly
Authentication evolved?

- For all the concerns over passwords, little has happened
  - all that has changed is what we are typing them on!
- Advanced technology but age-old methods

Usability challenges

- Practically every aspect of good password practice makes them more difficult to use
  - Enforcing selection criteria (length and character composition)
  - Changing them regularly
  - Avoiding password reuse
  - Avoiding a written record
- The need to use passwords across multiple systems amplifies the challenge
- Password management tools overcome some of the constraints but complicate the process of retrieving and using the passwords
Security amongst today’s users

Surveyed the behaviours of over 400 UK respondents
- 290 respondents aged 18 and over
- 129 respondents under 18

A broad contrast to be drawn between those currently in the workplace and those in the next generation

### Password Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of password-protected systems or devices</th>
<th>Under 18s</th>
<th>18 and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16+</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Password Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Under 18s</th>
<th>18 and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 8 characters</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphanumeric</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes other characters</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dictionary word</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal information</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same on every system</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SplashData

Predictably Popular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>password</td>
<td>password</td>
<td>123456</td>
<td>123456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>123456</td>
<td>123456</td>
<td>password</td>
<td>password</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12345678</td>
<td>12345678</td>
<td>12345678</td>
<td>12345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>qwerty</td>
<td>abc123</td>
<td>qwerty</td>
<td>12345678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>abc123</td>
<td>qwerty</td>
<td>abc123</td>
<td>qwerty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>monkey</td>
<td>monkey</td>
<td>123456789</td>
<td>123456789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1234567</td>
<td>letmein</td>
<td>111111</td>
<td>1234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>letmein</td>
<td>dragon</td>
<td>1234567</td>
<td>baseball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>trustno1</td>
<td>111111</td>
<td>iloveyou</td>
<td>dragon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>dragon</td>
<td>baseball</td>
<td>adobe123</td>
<td>football</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SplashData
From EU H2020 Digital Security Work Programme

**DS-2-2014: Access Control**

**Specific challenge:** Security includes granting access only to the people that are entitled to it. Currently the most widespread approach relies on passwords. Managing the passwords has its limits and poses a challenge to the user, which adds vulnerabilities. Common practice is to use the same or similar password, which increases significantly the risk should the password be broken.

**Website Passwords**
An analysis of website password practices

- Examination of ten leading websites
  - Selected from within the top 25 entries in the Alexa Global Top 500 websites in August 2014
  - Represent popular online services used by the general public, rather than targeting a technical audience

- Captures a number of the leading and most recognised online brands
  - Password practices likely to influence the largest proportion of end-users
  - Potentially used as a baseline to be followed by other sites

Provision of password guidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Guidance Provided?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sign-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinkedIn</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Live</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinterest</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikipedia</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WordPress</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahoo!</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Enforcement of password restrictions

*(at initial registration to website)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Restrictions at sign-up</th>
<th>Other support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Surname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinkedIn</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Live</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinterest</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikipedia</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WordPress</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahoo!</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Observations

- **Password length enforcement was variable**
  - Most enforced a minimum of 6 characters (Google, Microsoft and Yahoo! were 8)
  - Some sites enforced a maximum length (e.g. 32 for Yahoo!)
  - Wikipedia allowed a 1 character password

- **Other viable checks were often excluded**
  - Although some sites did *inform*, they did not *enforce*

- **Some sites might argue that the checks are commensurate with the data at risk**
  - Overlooks the potential for users to use the same password elsewhere
  - Better to help contribute towards raising the general security culture
### Evolving Enforcement

#### Table: Restrictions at sign-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Restrictions at sign-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Live</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahoo!</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Authentication
The traditional options

Protected or Neglected?
Smartphone Authentication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authentication method</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIN</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Password</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern Lock</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From 290 users surveyed by Plymouth University in Sept/Oct 2013
The usability challenge

- Entering standard passwords on mobile devices can be awkward
- Time-consuming for a device that is frequently in and out of use for short bursts
- Awkward to enter, particularly if you use multiple character types
  - Let's see the effort to enter an 8 character string with letters, numbers and symbols on an iPhone …

The challenge of entering Pa$$C0de
The compromise?
Ease of use at the expense of security

Online Banking

Please use the onscreen key pad below to enter your PIN and memorable date details

![Key pad with passcodes]

- A personal banking number
- Customer surname
- Selected digits from security number
- A memorable date
Challenging the legitimate user?

More time-consuming and require more cognitive effort than passwords:

- the authentication challenge will not be the same each time (i.e. different digits requested)
- the user can no longer rely on reflex response of typing a normal PIN/password
- the digits of the PIN are not requested in sequential order
- the position of the digits on the graphical keypad varies on each occasion

Online Banking

HSBC Secure Key introduces a multi-stage process for each login:

- User needs to enter their Banking ID
- Then answer a security question defined when they set up the account
- Then enter a 4-digit PIN code on the Secure Key device
- Then enter the 6-digit code generated by the device into the web page
Acceptable trade-off?

- Users may not object in a banking context
  - they realise their money is at stake
- Such approaches would not work for website authentication in general
  - would not scale up well as having a variety of numbers to remember for different accounts would quickly become unmanageable for the user

The user’s viewpoint?

you Internet banking. "your password requires uppercase letter, a number, a hair from the head of Jesus, asparagus, a rainbow & Yoda"
Alternative Secrets

- Alternatives based around graphical secrets
  - potentially easier to remember due to visual characteristics
- Plymouth studies include:
  - recall of everyday objects
  - combination with secret hotspots within images
- Some positive findings, but acceptance is not universal
  - still a PoE-only mechanism

Windows 8 Picture Password

Welcome to picture password

Picture password is a new way to help you unlock your Windows 8. You choose the pictures - and any passwords or PINs - you like. Picture password truly extends your emotional memory.

When you set more pictures, via "Name, create password," you can also combine the pictures. Make a password of your pictures. The new password and locations of your picture become part of your picture password.
Android Pattern Unlock

- Clearly suited to touch screen devices
- Complex patterns hard to remember?
- More observable than PINs
- Potential clues from greasy fingers
  - choose patterns that double-back …
  - … or clean the screen!

Trading security for usability?

- Both of the leading smartphone platforms now offer biometric alternatives to traditional PINs or passwords
  - Android has Face Unlock (2011)
  - iOS has Touch ID (2013)
- Having alternatives may be potentially valuable for mobile/pocket devices:
  - many users don’t enable traditional techniques as they are too inconvenient
  - frequent, ‘short burst’ uses of the device can make PINs and passwords awkward in practice
Biometric options

Android Face Unlock

- Unlocks the phone in response to seeing the correct face
- Very quick and easy under the right conditions

iOS Touch ID

- Introduces an RF-Capacitive Sensor into the Home Button
- Capacitive sensor is activated by the slight electrical charge that runs through the skin
  - means that a dead finger will not work
Biometric options
iOS Touch ID

- Can be used to unlock the device and confirm iTunes purchases
- The user can register up to five fingers
  - either all their own or also other users that they may wish to grant access to

The rationale for Biometrics
Usability over Security?

“*You check your iPhone dozens and dozens of times a day, probably more. Entering a passcode each time just slows you down*”

From Apple’s promotional text for Touch ID

“*making each person's device even more personal*”

The advertising of Android Face Unlock
Ranking the protection

Face Unlock is ranked as the least secure of the available options.

- The description explicitly cites it as less secure than any of the secret-based approaches (i.e. pattern, PIN or password).

Facial Flaws?

- Not a universal solution
  - Reverts to PIN/password entry in low light conditions

- Questionable security
  - Original version could be fooled by static photo of the legitimate user
  - Limited liveness detection (blink checking) introduced in mid-2012, but can still be fooled by edited photo
Fingerprint Fallbacks

Users cannot actually use Touch ID without having set a passcode beforehand.

The passcode is also needed if:

- the user wishes to change any of the authentication settings
- the handset is fully reset
- more than 48 hours have passed since the device was last unlocked

Then relying upon a passcode that is less frequently used:

- arguably less likely to be remembered without being written down

The Primacy of the Passcode
Not always usable …

There are some circumstances in which Touch ID stops working

Notable cases:
- Moisture
  - Sweaty hands
  - Rain!
- Dirty fingers
- Gloves
- Skin damage
  - e.g. my wife’s thumb
One size fits all?

- Traditional authentication tends to deliver full access in one go
  - secondary authentication sometimes required for specific applications or services
- Potentially desirable to differentiate the requirement based upon the nature of the device/system, data, or level of access concerned but to manage it *transparently* wherever possible

Transparent, Non-intrusive authentication

- Relevant to consider how to bring authentication strength and convenience together in a more effective manner
- Non-intrusive methods aim to maintain tolerability while offering opportunity beyond Point of Entry
  - ability to obtain a continuous (or periodic) measure of authentication
  - leveraging natural user interactions as a basis for collecting authentication data
Active Authentication

“The current standard method for validating a user’s identity for authentication on an information system requires humans to do something that is inherently unnatural: create, remember, and manage long, complex passwords”

“The Active Authentication program seeks to address this problem by developing novel ways of validating the identity of the person at the console that focus on the unique aspects of the individual through the use of software based biometrics … This program focuses on the behavioral traits that can be observed through how we interact with the world.”

(DARPA, January 2012)

Requirements

- Reduce the authentication burden upon the user
- Improve the level of security being provided
- More closely link authentication of the user with the subsequent request for access
- Ensure that the approach is commensurate with the needs of the access request
- Provide a more effective measure of identity confidence that goes beyond a simple Boolean decision
Non-intrusive mobile authentication

Signature Recognition

Voice Verification

Keystroke Dynamics

Facial Recognition

Service Utilisation

A generic transparent authentication framework

Situational awareness and system feedback

Authentication Manager

Authentication Processing Controller

Sample Extraction & Processing

Storage

Audit Log

Profile

Cache

Short-term storage of captured samples
Framework Components

Five key elements:
- Capture of authentication samples
- Processing of authentication samples
- Short- and long-term data repositories
- Authentication Manager
- Response

Enables continuous transparent capture of authentication samples by the underlying system

Authentication Aura

Improving the experience for multi-device users
- recent authentication on one personal device reduces the need for explicit authentication on other devices in close proximity

Aura level affected by strength of authentication method and how recent
- can be maintained by non-intrusive monitoring and boosted by further explicit authentication actions
- dissipates over time without further authentications

Devices (and data) accessible based upon their sensitivity and current Aura level
John authenticates himself using pattern unlock on his tablet.

Establishes a resulting Authentication Aura of a certain level.
Authentication Aura

John is in close physical proximity to several other of his devices

The strength of his Aura permits access to some devices but not others.
Simulating the Aura effect
Reference scenario – A day in the life ...

Plot of the 'Authentication confidence' on a device used every 30 mins, with 10-min inactivity lock

Kinecting the Aura effect
Progressive reduction of confidence

Confidence degrades over time, until a fresh authentication occurs
Simulating the Aura effect
Progressive reduction of confidence

May configure to degrade less quickly in a familiar physical environment (e.g. at home)

Simulating the Aura effect
Tracking device location and things around it

Actual device observations from one of our 20 trialists during one of their days
Simulating the Aura effect

Using other devices and location to affect the level

Authentication Aura
Overall Results

20 participants for 14-days each

Each user RFID tagged 15 objects within home and work environments

Simulation based upon 1.23 million samples suggest a 75% reduction in explicit authentication requests
Conclusions

The range of authentication options has grown

- still no single solution that is universally applicable and effective

Predictions:

- passwords will be with us for some time yet
- other approaches (particularly biometrics) will become more commonplace
Conclusions

- Passwords are familiar but nonetheless not used effectively
  - show no immediate signs of going away
  - required in more and more IT contexts, often without sufficient guidance
- The managability problem remains, but this exists in different forms for other secrets and for tokens
  - Biometrics introduce their own concerns

Conclusions

- Taking authentication beyond traditional methods and beyond PoE has usability benefits
- Use of a composite approach within an intelligent framework can strengthen protection
- Ongoing research is tackling the approach to authentication transparency within and between devices
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